

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,740	12/13/2001	Bernhard Schulze Wartenhorst	5004273-001US1	8869	
29739 75	90 01/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SMITH MOORE LLP P.O. BOX 21927			PRICE, RICHAR	PRICE, RICHARD THOMAS JR	
GREENSBORO, NC 27420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3643		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/015,740	WARTENHORST, BERNHARD SCHULZE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thomas Price	3643		

	Inomas Price	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compl following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	offidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW C
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened starbove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explored a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<del>_</del>	but prior to the data of filing a brid	f will mat be entered to	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
(c)⊠ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	•	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant /unchament	(1 TOL-02+).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wilded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). Thomas Price Primary Examiner	3AH: 3643
•		Timary Examiner	U/10. UU+U

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)